

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAURIE SMITH,

No. C 09-02903 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER REGARDING
DISCOVERY DISPUTE**

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., and DOES
1 to 50,


Defendants.

At the discovery dispute hearing on March 16, 2010, the parties were ordered to *jointly* submit a proposed order capturing the undersigned's rulings on their dispute. At 5:00 p.m. on Friday, March 19, 2010, Attorney Louis Franecke, representing plaintiff, filed a letter indicating that he was flying to Europe and was unable to meet and confer with defendant on a joint proposed order. Attorney Franecke then filed *his own* proposed order for approval.

This is not acceptable. The parties are ordered to meet and confer, and *jointly* submit a proposed order capturing the undersigned's rulings on their dispute **BY NOON ON THURSDAY, MARCH 25, 2010**. As the parties are aware, they may order a transcript of the hearing if they disagree on what transpired at the hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 22, 2010.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE